

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,647	CHOE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marianne L. Padgett	1792	

All Participants:

(1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(2) Leslie Szivos.
Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2007
Time: Message left
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to inform applicant's attorney that the declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 submitted on 10/15/2007 was unsigned, hence ineffective & if applicants wished the declaration to be considered, a properly signed copy needed to be submitted. As applicant's attorney was not available at the time the phone call, a message was left to this effect..